



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/520,927	03/08/2000	Edward J. Cleary JR.	6960 US	1687

7590

06/14/2004

Francis I Gray
Tektronix Inc
PO Box 500
Delivery Station 50-LAW
Beaverton, OR 97077

EXAMINER

YANG, RYAN R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2672

18

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/520,927

Applicant(s)

CLEARY ET AL

Examiner

Ryan R Yang

Art Unit

2672

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 April 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 7-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3-6 and 10-15 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 4/12/2004.
This action is final.
2. Claims 1-15 are pending in this application. Claim 1 is independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 4/12/2004, claim 1 was amended.
3. The present title of the invention is "Surround Sound Display" as filed originally.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
5. Claims 1-2 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibson. (5,812,688).
6. As per claim 1, Gibson discloses a surround sound display representing a plurality of sound channels comprising:

a two-dimensional surround sound stage image (Figure 5; As for 2-dimensional the sound stage image, since Gibson discloses the image in 3-dimensional, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to know the conversion from 3-dimensional to 2-dimensional by just holding the third dimension constant);

a curvilinear correlation meter scale for each sound channel of the sound stage image that has a corresponding sound channel to form a stereo sound source (Figure 5 X-AXIS 218; As for the correlation meter to be curvilinear, since meter of various

curvatures exists in the art, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to chose a curvature because this is within the boundary of a designer's choice); and

markers related to the correlation meter scale that represent the correlation between the corresponding sound channels (Figure 7A where the outer boundary of the sphere is the marker represents correlation between the corresponding sound channels).

Gibson discloses a surround sound display. It is noted that Gibson does not explicitly disclose "a correlation meter scale for each sound channel of the sound stage image that has a corresponding sound channel to form a stereo sound source", however, since Gibson discloses in Figure 7a "spheres corresponding to selected channels are arranged in a "V" formation (column 6, line 44-45), since the whole display is scaled by X and Y-Axis, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to used the scale corresponds to each sphere as corresponding correlation meter to that channel in order to measure the magnitude of the sphere.

7. As per claim 2, Gibson demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 1, supra, and further discloses the sound stage image comprises speaker images positioned at appropriate positions of the display to represent sound sources (Figure 4 212, 214).

8. As per claim 7, Gibson demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of independent claim 1, supra, and further discloses the markers comprise a pointer for each sound channel, the location of the pointer along the correlation meter scale indicating the correlation between the corresponding sound channels (Figure 8a where

the edge of the sphere in the X-direction is the marker indicating correlation between the corresponding sound channels).

9. As per claim 8, Gibson demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 7, *supra*, and further discloses the markers comprises a fill area spanning the correlation meter scales for the stereo corresponding sound channels, the width of the fill area indicating the correlation between the corresponding sound channels (Figure 8a where the sphere is solid).

10. As per claim 9, Gibson demonstrated all the elements as applied to the rejection of dependent claim 8, *supra*, and further discloses the thickness of the fill area indicates the amplitude of the stereo channels ("density of the sphere is correlated to amplitude", column 5, line 43-44).

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 3-6 and 10-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 4/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per claim 1, Applicant alleges Gibson does not show a two-dimensional surround sound display and does not show a curvilinear correlation meter scale. In reply, examiner considers these are within the ability of one of ordinary skill to make

Art Unit: 2672

such variations because a two-dimensional image can be achieved from a three-dimensional image by holding one dimension of the image constant and choosing a curvature of a meter is clearly a designer's choice.

Conclusion

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Ryan Yang** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-6133**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Michael Razavi**, can be reached at **(703) 305-4713**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/520,927
Art Unit: 2672

Page 6

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231


or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 305-47000377.

Ryan Yang
June 6, 2004


JEFFERY BRIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER